1	JOHN L. BURRIS, ESQ., SBN 69888 BEN NISENBAUM, ESQ., SBN 222173
2	LAW OFFICES OF JOHN L. BURRIS
3	Airport Corporate Center
	7677 Oakport Street, Suite 1120 Oakland, CA 94621
4	Telephone: (510) 839-5200
5	Facsimile: (510) 839-3882
6	Email: John.Burris@johnburrislaw.com Email: BNisenbaum@gmail.com
7	Zinani Zi (isticumi) (ginani cini
	PATRICK BUELNA, ESQ., SBN 317043
8	POINTER & BUELNA, LLP LAWYERS FOR THE PEOPLE
9	Wells Fargo Center
10	1901 Harrison St., Suite 1140,
11	Oakland, CA 94612 Tel: 510-929-5400
	Email: PBuelna@LawyersFTP.com
12	
13	Attorneys for Plaintiffs
14	RICHARD DOYLE, City Attorney (88625)
15	NORA FRIMANN, Assistant City Attorney (93249)
	ARDELL JOHNSON, Chief Deputy City Attorney (95340) KATHRYN J. ZOGLIN, Senior Deputy City Attorney (121187)
16	Office of the City Attorney
17	200 East Santa Clara Street, 16th Floor
18	San José, California 95113-1905 Telephone Number: (408) 535-1900
19	Facsimile Number: (408) 998-3131
	E-Mail Address: cao.main@sanjoseca.gov
20	Attorneys for Defendants CITY OF SAN JOSE
21	and ALEXANDRE VIEIRA-RIBEIRO
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	

Martin v. San Jose, et al. Case No. 3:19-cv-01227-EMC

28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

CASE NO.: 3:19-cv-01227-EMC ANDY MARTIN, an individual, Plaintiff, STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER TO DISMISS CASE v. CITY OF SAN JOSE, et al. Defendants. The relevant parties, by and through their respective attorneys of record, hereby stipulate and 14 jointly request an Order from the Court dismiss the entire action, against all Defendants, with prejudice. Each party agrees to bear its own fees and costs as to this dismissal. The parties attest that concurrence in the filing of these documents has been obtained from each of the other Signatories, which shall serve in lieu of their signatures on the document. POINTER & BUELNA, LLP Date: August 31, 2021 By: /s/ Patrick M. Buelna Patrick Buelna Attorney for Plaintiff RICHARD DOYLE, City Attorney Date: August 31, 2021 By:/s/ Ardell Johnson (Auth. Aug, 31, 2021) Ardell Johnson Attorney for Defendants

Martin v. San Jose, et al. Case No. 3:19-cv-01227-EMC

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

ORDER

PURSUANT TO STIPULATION AND REQUEST, IT IS ORDERED AS FOLLOWS:

The Court hereby dismisses this entire action, with prejudice, as to all claims alleged by Plaintiff. Each party to bear its own fees and costs as to this dismissal. All further dates and deadline set in this matter

IT IS SO ORDERED

DATED: August 31, 2021

By: Hon. Edward M. Chen District Judge

Stipulation to Dismiss Martin v. San Jose, et al. -3-